

# Inundative release of egg parasitoid *Trichogramma bactrae* in cotton

## M.V. Variya<sup>1</sup>, A.M. Bharadiya<sup>2</sup>, D. R. Patel<sup>3</sup> and P.B. Kaneriya<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Research Scientist, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (India)

<sup>2</sup>Associate Research Scientist, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (India)

<sup>3</sup>Agriculture Officer, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (India)

<sup>4</sup>Senior Research Fallow, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (India)

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at cotton research station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during *kharif* 2016, 2017 and 2018 to evaluate egg parasitoid *Trichogramma bactrae* through inundative release in cotton crop for management of pink bollworm. Result showed that minimum percent rosette flower, percent green boll damage and percent open boll damage were noticed insecticide treated plot followed by release of *Trichogramma bactrae*. Significantly highest yield pooled data observed that chemical treated plot followed by release of *Trichogramma bactrae*. However, the highest benefit cost ratio was revealed in release of *Trichogramma bactrae* plot followed by chemical treated plot.

Key words: Inundative release, egg parasitoid, Trichogramma bactrae, cotton

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Cotton, Gossypium spp. is one of the commercially important fibre crop in the world for fibre, fuel and edible oil, is playing an important role in Indian economy. In Gujarat state, cotton was gown in 2.27 million ha and production of 7.27 million bale during 2020-21 [1]. In the recent past, Pink bollworm (Pectinophora gossypiella Saunders) has become a major threat to Bt Cotton in the last 2 years and is causing considerable loss to Cotton, in terms of Evaluation of egg parasitoid Trichogramma bactrae through inundative release of the crop has now become a major problem in Bt Cotton hybrids appearing from the flowering stage of the crop and inflicting damage if unattended. World over, Pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) has become economically the most destructive pest of Cotton and has known to cause 2.8 to 61.9 per cent loss in seed cotton yield, 2.1 to 47.10 per cent loss in oil content and 10.70 to 59.20 per cent loss in normal opening of bolls [2]. Estimated yield losses in the U.S.A. due to pink bollworm range from 9% when chemically controlled to 61% when uncontrolled [3], although 100% crop loss can occur with heavy infestations. The control of this pest depends largely on the application of pesticides, which has precipitated the development of resistance. As a result, in order to achieve effective control, more chemical applications per season are needed [4]. Furthermore, to control this pest alternative control strategies like release of egg parasitoids at ETLs in combination with insecticidal sprays are being studied. The egg parasitoids, Trichogrammatoidea spp. have been used in IPM of cotton for the control of *P. gossypiella* and proved as good biological agents in the laboratory [5]. Several studies revealed the role of Trichogramma spp. in controlling different insect pests infesting the cotton crop in different parts of the world [6, 7]. The present study was undertaken to evaluation of egg parasitoid Trichogramma bactrae through inundative release.

Mass rearing and release of natural enemies represents an important tactic of IPM strategy and it has successfully been used to combat many pest including cotton bollworms [8]. Among certain natural enemies, Egg parasitoids, *T. chilonis, T. japonicumare* and *Trichogramma bactrae* common biological control agents successfully used in biological control programs in corn, rice, sugarcane and cotton in India. Parasitoid Trichogramma is cosmopolitan in distribution and capable of parasitizing on pink bollworm eggs [9]. The other advantages associated with *Trichogramma* are low cost in comparison with chemical pesticides, ease of application, availability, ecofriendly and most importantly pest control before the damage. Besides pink bollworm, there is a complex of lepidopteron pests associated with cotton ecosystem which can also be controlled by *Trichogramma* spp. keeping these in view, management of cotton pink bollworm with *Trichogramma bactrae*.



#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Evaluation of different treatment for management of pink bollworm in *Bt* cotton was studied in *Bt* cotton hybrid "NCS 860 BG-II" during *Kharif* seasons of 2016, 2017 and 2018 at Cotton Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat (India). The experiment was carried out randomized block design with eight times replicated with three treatments, *viz.*, biological control treatment, insecticide control treatment and an untreated control treatment. The crop was grown under rainfed conditions in heavy black soil at a spacing of 120 x 45 cm with a plot size 8.55 x 20.4 m following all recommended agronomic practices except plant protection measures. The treatments *viz.*, biological control *i.e. Trichogramma bactrae* released @ 150000/ha at two specific crop stage *viz.*, flowering stage (40-50 DAS) and boll maturation stage (60-75 DAS).Two time release at flowering stage at weekly interval and three time releases at boll maturation stage at weekly interval; an insecticidal treatment *i.e.* three alternate sprays of profenophos 50 EC (2ml/l of water), thiodicarb 75 WP (1g/l of water) and cypermethrin 10 EC (1ml/l of water) at 40, 60 and 90 days, respectively after germination and a control plot was also kept which accounts for the natural incidence of the pest. In untreated control plot, no insecticidal sprays were taken up during the entire crop season. For field release of *Trichogramma bactrae*, one day old parasitized egg trico-cards (30x18cm) were pinned on to a leaf at about 50 cm height above ground.

#### Method for recording observation

#### **Rosette Flowers**

In each treatment, after initiation of flowering, a day before flower opening observations on rosette flowers due to pink bollworm infestation was made on 5 randomly selected plants. Total number of flowers and rosette was counted and percent rosette flowering was worked out.

#### Per cent green boll damage

In each treatment, the number of 20 green bolls randomly selected from net plot area, the number of bolls damaged by pink bollworm was counted and expressed in terms of per cent green boll damage using the formula

Per cent green boll damage= Total number of green bolls observed Number of damaged green bolls Total number of green bolls observed

#### Per cent opened boll damage

At the time of each cotton picking g, five randomly selected plants were observed in all opened bolls from each treatment. Based on this, per cent opened boll damage was worked out by

Damage opened bolls Per cent open boll damage =------ X 100 Total opened bolls

#### Per cent locule damage

At the time of each cotton picking, five randomly selected plants were observed in all opened bolls than from each treatment. Total number of locules and damaged locules was counted and expressed in terms of per cent locule damage,

Damaged locules

Percent locule damage (%) = ------ X 100 Total number of locules

#### Seed cotton yield

From each treatment, weight of seed cotton (kg/ha) during each picking was recorded.

The observations on percentage of rosette flowers, per cent green boll damage, locule damage, per cent open boll damage and seed cotton yield in each treatment was recorded. The data was subjected to suitable transformations before analysis and later analyzed.

#### **Observations recorded**

- 1. Percentage of rosette flowers
- 2. Per cent green boll damage



- 3. Per cent open boll damage
- 4. Percent locule damage
- 5. Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A study was conducted to Evaluation of egg parasitoid *Trichogramma bactrae* through inundative release during *kharif* 2016, 2017 and 2018. It is seen from the data in Table 1 that all treatments were significantly superior over untreated control. The pooled data showed that the significantly lowest per cent rosette flower (12.64%) was observed in chemical treated plot followed by *Trichogramma bactrae* (16.96%), while the highest per cent rosette flower was noticed in control plot (22.06%). Similarly, the significantly green boll damage (14.04%) was observed in chemical treated plot followed by *Trichogramma bactrae* (18.24%), while the highest green boll damage was noticed in control plot (22.51%). At the time of harvesting, The significantly lowest open boll damage and locule damage (6.26% & 2.91%) was noticed in chemical treated plot

Table 1: Evaluation of egg parasitoid Trichogramma bactrae through inundative release.																	
Sr. No.	Treatments	Rose	Rosette flower percentage				Green boll damage percentage			Open boll damage percentage							
		Rosene nowel percentage			Green oon uamage percentage				Boll basis			Locule basis					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
	Trichogramma	26.24	24.27	22.44	24.32	28.73	22.64	24.47	25.28	17.48	16.75	14.57	16.27	12.26	13.46	9.85	11.86
1	bactrae	(19.55)	(16.90)	(14.58)	(16.96)	(23.10)	(14.82)	(17.16)	(18.24)	(9.02)	(8.31)	(6.33)	(7.85)	(4.51)	(5.42)	(2.93)	(4.22)
2	Profenofos 50 EC +	22.06	20.65	19.78	20.83	24.56	20.03	21.43	22.01	16.21	14.62	12.63	14.49	11.36	10.06	8.03	9.82
	Thiodicarb 75 WP +			(11.45)				1	1		(6.37)	(4.78)	(6.26)	(3.88)	(3.05)	(1.95)	(2.91)
	Cypermethrin 10 EC	(14.10)	(12.45)	(11.45)	(12.04)	(17.27)	(11.75)	(15.55)	(14.04)	(1.13)	(0.57)	(4.70)	(0.20)	(5.00)	(5.05)	(1.55)	(2.91)
3	Control	30.17	28.12	25.75	28.01	31.91	26.71	26.35	28.32	19.40	20.18	18.61	19.40	13.99	14.19	11.82	13.33
		(25.25)	(22.22)	(18.87)	(22.06)	(27.93)	(20.21)	(19.70)	(22.51)	(11.03)	(11.90)	(10.18)	(11.03)	(5.84)	(6.01)	(4.20)	(5.32)
	S.Em.±		1.00	0.83	0.52	0.77	0.96	0.74	0.48	0.53	0.83	0.53	0.37	0.36	0.47	0.41	0.24
	C.D. at 5 %		3.08	2.56	1.49	2.38	2.95	2.29	1.37	1.64	2.56	1.62	1.07	1.12	1.44	1.26	0.69
	C.V. %		10.85	9.71	9.47	7.18	10.94	8.18	8.71	7.98	12.77	9.13	10.22	7.68	9.84	10.91	9.42
	Y													-			
	S.Em.±				0.52				0.48				0.37				0.24
C.D. at 5 %					1.49				1.37				1.07				0.69
YXT								-	-								
	S.Em.±				0.90				0.83				0.65				0.42
	C.D. at 5 %				NS				NS				NS				NS
Figure in parenthesis are original values; those outside are arcsine transformed values																	

Table 1: Evaluation of egg parasitoid Trichogramma bactrae through inundative release.

followed by *Trichogramma bactrae* (7.85% & 4.22%), while the highest open boll damage and locule damage was noticed in control plot(11.03% & 5.32%).

#### Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)

The pooled data of the years (2016, 2017 and 2018) showed that the yield was observed significantly different in all the treatments, among them the chemical treatment noted significantly higher seed cotton yield (2687 kg/ha) followed by *Trichogramma bactrae* treated plot (2516 kg/ha) and control treatment recorded lowest seed cotton yield (2082 kg/ha) (Table 2).

Sr.	Treatments	Seed cotton yield					
No.	1 reatments	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled		
1	Trichogramma bactrae	2315	2525	2708	2516		
2	Profenofos 50 EC + Thiodicarb 75 WP + Cypermethrin 10 EC	2401	2726	2934	2687		
3	Control	1995	2052	2201	2082		
	S.Em.±	71.25	7 <b>9.</b> 77	88.52	46.28		
	C.D. at 5 %	219.56	245.81	272.78	132.85		
	C.V. %	8.43	8.67	8.96	8.73		
	Y						
	S.Em.±				46.28		
	C.D. at 5 %				132.85		
	YXT						
	S.Em.±				80.16		
	C.D. at 5 %				NS		

Table 2: Evaluation of egg parasitoid *Trichogramma bactrae* through inundative release on yield of seed cotton.

#### **Economics**

The result on economics of different treatments against pink bollworm cotton showed that highest net realization of Rs. 27,780/- was noticed in chemical treatment followed by *Trichogramma bactrae* treated plot



### International Research Journal of Education and Technology ISSN 2581-7795

(Rs. 21400/-). However, the highest ICBR was found in *Trichogramma bactrae* treated plot i.e. 1:72.33, while chemical treatment noted ICBR of 1:12.25.

Sr. No.	Treatment	Yield (Kg/ha)	Yield increased over control (Kg/ha)	Additional income (Rs.)	Cost of treatment with labour charge Rs/ha	Net Realization Rs/ha	ICBR
1	Trichogramma bactrae	2516	434	21700	300	21400	1:72.33
2	Profenofos 50 EC + Thiodicarb 75 WP + Cypermethrin 10 EC	2687	605	30250	2470	27780	1 : 12.25
3	Control	2082	0	0	0	-	-

Table 3: Economics of egg parasitoid	Trichogramma bactrae through inundative release.
--------------------------------------	--

1. Quantity of water: 500 lit. /ha

2. Cost of Input: 1. Cost of respective treatment (Rs. /kg or lit.):

1) Trichogramma bactrae Rs. 300/-

2) Profenofos 50 EC + Thiodicarb 75 WP + Cypermethrin 10 EC 1838 + 632 = Rs. 2470/-

2. Labour charges (Rs. /ha) = Rs. 632/-

#### 3. Price of cotton Rs. 50 per kg

#### CONCLUSION

Looking to the efficacy and yield, the chemical treatment found to be the most effective, while the treatment of *Trichogramma bactrae* was economic. So, it can be concluded that for organic farming cotton production, application of *Trichogramma bactrae* @ 1.5 lakh parasitoid eggs per hector *viz*; two release at flowering stage (40-50 days) at weekly interval and three releases at boll maturation stage (60-75 days) at weekly interval is effective and economic for management of pink boll worm.

#### ACKNOLEDMENT

The authors are highly grateful to the Director of Research, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat (India) for providing the facilities required to conduct this experiment.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous, (2020). Directorate of Agriculture. Gujarat state, Gandhinagar. Area, production and yield of cotton. [https://dag.gujarat.gov.in/images/directorofagriculture/pdf/Fourth-Advance-Estimate-2020-21.pdf], Retrieved on 15/9/2021.
- [2]. Patil, S.B. (2003). Studies on Management of Cotton Pink Bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella*. Journal of *Cotton Research and Development*. **17**(2): 255-257
- [3]. Schwartz, P.H. (1983). Losses of yield in cotton due to insects. In: Agricultural Handbook. US Department of Agricultural Research Service. Beltsville, Maryland.
- [4]. Natwick, E.T. (1987). Cotton insects and production, Colorado River Cotton Growers Association, El Centro, CA, pp: 3-4.
- [5]. Malik, F.M.(2000). Life table studies of Trichogrammatoidea bactrae (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) an effective biological agent of Pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella*, Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) of cotton (*Gossypium* spp.). *Pak. J Biol. Sci.* **3**(12): 2106-2108.
- [6]. Charles, P.C., Orr, D.B., Van Duyn, J.W. and Borchert, D.M. (2000). *Trichogramma exiguum* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) releases in North Carolina cotton: Evaluation of heliothis pest suppression. Department of Entomology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh. 2: 7695-7613.
- [7]. Nadeem, S., Ashfaq, M., Hamed, M., Ahmed, S. and Kashif, M. (2009). Comparative rearing of *Trichogramma chilonis* (Ishii) (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) at different temperature conditions. *Pak. Entomol.* **31**(1): 33-36.
- [8]. Cock, M.J.W. (1985). The use of parasitoids for augmentative biological control of pests in the people's republic of China. *Biocontrol News and Information*. **6**(3): 213–24.
- [9]. Ahmad, N., Sarwar, M., Wagan, M. S., Muhammad, R. and Tofique, M. (2011). Conservation of biocontrol agents in cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Field by food supplements for insect pests management. *The Nucleus.* 48 (3): 255-260.